

In the Supreme Court of the State of Alaska

Raymond Valencia,
Appellant,

v.

Dante Elkins,
Appellee.

Supreme Court No. **S-17600**

Opening Notice Appellate Rule 218

Date of Notice: **10/10/19**

Trial Court Case No. **2BA-12-00082CI**

1. On 10/1/19, Appellant filed an appeal of Judge Traverso's order denying motion to modify custody distributed on 9/17/19. Attorneys whose names and addresses are correct on this notice need not file an entry of appearance. All documents filed by a party who is not represented by counsel shall include an address at which that party can be served. The caption in this case will be as shown above.

2. The notice of completion of preparation of file is due on or before **11/12/19**. Please transmit all trial court exhibits. The record in this appeal will include only the documents and proceedings in the trial court case referenced above. If either party believes that the record should contain documents or proceedings from any other related case, that party should file an appropriate motion.

4. All parties should be aware of the provisions of Rule 512.5(a) and (b)(1) in submitting pleadings and other paperwork in this case. In all CINA appeals and domestic relations cases that were confidential in the superior court, the excerpt of record must be submitted in a confidential envelope.

5. If required by Appellate Rule 512.5(b)(2), all parties are directed to submit all briefs and future appellate pleadings using the children's/parents' initials, or pseudonyms, instead of their full names.

6. In accordance with Appellate Rule 218(i) and 503.5(b)(3)(a), no routine motions for extensions of time may be filed. All motions shall comply with Appellate Rule 503.5(c) and should indicate whether or not an opposition is expected.

7. This case may be subject to the requirements of Appellate Rule 221.

- ☐ On or before **11/12/19**, the attorneys for all parties to this appeal must discuss settlement as required by Appellate Rule 221. The first attorney listed below is responsible for arranging the settlement discussion. Counsel for the parties must file an appropriate notice if the parties reach settlement of any issues. If no settlement is reached, counsel for the parties must file a certificate stating that the attorneys have discussed settlement with knowledge of their clients. This certificate is due on or before **11/12/19**. A form notice/certificate is enclosed with this opening notice. This form is also available on the appellate court web site (www.appellate.courts.state.ak.us).

- ☐ This case is exempt from the settlement discussion requirement under Appellate Rule 221. **Appellant must file the form notice/certificate enclosed by 11/12/19, however, Part 3 need not be completed.**

Clerk of the Appellate Courts

Ryan Montgomery-Sythe, Chief Deputy
Clerk

cc: Judge Traverso
Trial Court Clerk

Distribution:

Mail:

Campbell, Robert J.

Sherman, John James

In the Supreme Court of the State of Alaska

Raymond Valencia,
Appellant,

v.

Dante Elkins,
Appellee.

Supreme Court No. S-17600

Notice/Certificate Appellate Rule 221

Trial Court Case No. **2BA-12-00082CI**

NOTICE/CERTIFICATE REQUIRED BY APPELLATE RULE 221

Part 1. Trial Court Settlement History.

1. Did the parties attempt settlement at the trial court level?
 - ☐ yes (answer questions 2-5)
 - ☐ no (skip to Part 2)
2. What form(s) did the settlement discussions take? (Check all that apply)
 - ☐ informal discussions
 - ☐ negotiations led by private neutral (e.g., mediator)
name of private neutral: _____
 - ☐ settlement conference with judge
name of judge: _____
 - ☐ other
describe: _____
3. Who was involved in the settlement discussions? (Check all that apply)
 - ☐ counsel for all parties
 - ☐ all clients
 - ☐ other
describe: _____
4. How long did the settlement discussions take?

5. What was the outcome of the settlement discussions at the trial court level?
- ☐ parties reached agreement on one or more issues or claims
 - ☐ case settled as to some parties, but not all parties
 - ☐ issues were narrowed
 - ☐ no issues or claims were narrowed or resolved

Part 2. Pro Se Party Involvement.

- ☐ Indicate here if no appellate settlement discussion took place because one or more parties are unrepresented by counsel and therefore the provisions of Appellate Rule 221 do not apply. If the box in this section **is** checked, the appellant should sign below:

Signature

Date

If the box in this section is **not** checked, the attorneys must complete Part 3.

Part 3. Certificate of Appellate Settlement Discussion.

By signing below, each attorney certifies that the attorneys have discussed settlement after the filing of the appeal as required by Appellate Rule 221, and that the attorney's client(s) had knowledge of the settlement discussion.

Date

Signature

Date

Signature

Date

Signature

Date

Signature

FILE THIS ORIGINAL PLUS ONE COPY WITH THE COURT.